Monitoring from a distance

Using a remote moisture monitoring system to manage irrigation

By Tyler Hoskins, Jim Owen and Heather Stoven

The availability of irrigation water is decreasing. The cost of water and fertilizer are increasing. Nurseries are feeling insecure about the protection of their water supply, and nervous about increased regulation.

As a result, nursery professionals are exploring new methods to make irrigation more efficient. This could have the added benefit of improved fertilizer application.

Researchers at Oregon State University evaluated the potential of a remote soil-moisture-sensing technology in ornamental container production systems.

The study was done in cooperation with Woodburn Nursery & Azaleas Inc., Bailey Nurseries, Inc., and PureSense Environmental Inc.

This technology is currently being utilized in field grown, conventional or specialty cropping systems. However, the purpose of this research was to explore the feasibility of utilizing this technology under different container production scenarios.
Researchers also assessed its potential use as a practical tool to monitor and manage irrigation, therefore reducing handling and treatment of onsite water, reducing energy costs and minimizing nutrient leaching from containers.

Container production systems pose many challenges, including variation in species and plant architecture, container size, soilless substrate composition and cultural management practices (such as use of plant growth regulators and pruning).

Furthermore, containerized crops require intensive irrigation management with a small water buffering capacity, when compared to field crops grown in soil.

**Describing the system**

This system operates from a solar-powered central base station, located at the nursery. Solar- or battery-powered remote nodes, or radios, are placed throughout the nursery to relay information to the base station. Each node has the capacity to connect with up to four analog/digital sensors relaying information about soil moisture, temperature, leaf wetness, precipitation, or irrigation events.

Information from the sensors is collected every 15 minutes and is sent to the base station, which in turn sends data to be processed off-site via a cellular modem. The data is available for viewing via computer or smartphone within a few minutes of being recorded and processed.

Information flows from the probe (Figure 1, Page 41) to a base station and then to a laptop or mobile device. Data is presented using a grower-friendly user interface that provides information at a glance via a “gas gauge” (e.g. wet or dry) or can provide more in-depth information (diurnal moisture curves) if needed.

When monitoring substrate moisture, growers set parameters for maximum wetness and dryness based on their own preferences. The maximum dryness is the point at which the production manager would irrigate; maximum wetness is the point where irrigation should shut off. All of the components of the monitoring system typically can be purchased or leased and maintained by a service provider.

### Implementing the system

For this trial, the system was set up to measure soilless substrate moisture as a means to assist with irrigation scheduling. The system was implemented alongside a variety of methods that have been used to evaluate the moisture content of a soilless substrate in containerized production systems of ornamental crops.

Methods included collecting leaching fractions (amount water leached / amount water applied), measuring container weight (where a change of 1 gram equals 1 milliliter of water gain or loss), or making estimates based on weather trends (evapotranspiration or water use estimates). These measurements served as a basis to compare the data from the digital system.

Each method has its own merits and provides valuable information for scheduling irrigation. However, each traditional method provides only a single measurement or estimates water loss.

The benefit of the technology employed is that it provides the grower with instant water status and graphical representation of all the compiled data to assist in management decisions. Furthermore, the system provides historical records of substrate moisture of containerized crops that can be utilized for future management decisions.

Researchers and the two participating nurseries selected several crops at each site that were of interest to the grower. At Woodburn Nursery, the investigated crops were produced in pot-in-pot containers, retractable roof greenhouses and open-air container facilities.

Researchers installed one of two sensors depending on the specific interest of the grower. The EC 5 model senses moisture only, while the 5TE model also senses electrical conductivity. Both sensors were produced by Decagon Devices, Inc. See Figure 1 for an illustration of sensor placement at Bailey Nurseries, Inc.

It is important to note that the plant selected to receive the sensor should be a representative sample of the entire...
irrigation zone. The location of this plant within the block is a strategic decision that takes into account irrigation uniformity, canopy interaction and specific crop water use. If unknown, irrigation uniformity and leaching fraction should be determined prior to network deployment.

One should consider that the data may not be representative. It could be misleading, if for example it is collected from a container in a dry area or one that is wetter than average. We found that growers have in-depth knowledge of irrigation system and crop water use, making them most suitable to determine sensor placement. However, by testing irrigation uniformity and monitoring leaching fraction, we found that the assumptions made are not always valid.

Once the system's hardware is installed and functioning, the next step is to evaluate the data from each probe and customize the software to meet the attributes of a specific crop.

This process is accomplished by setting an upper and lower moisture boundary for each probe. These boundaries represent a specific substrate moisture content determined by the grower. The quantitative number is not exact, however; it is relative to the grower perceived water status of the container/crop system.

The upper moisture boundary is set at or below container capacity; the maximum amount of water held in the substrate after water has drained from the container. The lower moisture boundary represents the driest a grower determines the crop should be before being irrigated.

There are two main ways information is presented to the grower. First, the software uses icons on an interactive satellite image to depict each node’s physical location and the most current moisture reading in relation to the boundaries. Each icon functions like a car’s gas gauge and will change colors depending on how wet or dry the container’s substrate is (see Figure 2).

Second, a grower can take a more in-depth look at diurnal moisture graphs for analysis of anything from a single irrigation event to the trends over a full season (see Figures 3 and 4).

**Implications**

Analyzing diurnal substrate moisture graphs allows a grower to evaluate how water is performing within a container at different times of the year. This helps the grower understand how cultural practices impact water management.

These graphs take different shapes as a result of several variables such as irrigation method, substrate composition, plant type, and air temperature (as it relates to evaporative transpiration and root zone uptake).

Figure 3 is an example of a typical irrigation event and displays how moisture content (y axis) relates to the lower (red) and upper (blue) moisture boundaries as set by the grower. This information is graphed as a function of time (x).
axis) and reads left to right.

Before irrigation, the moisture content would slowly drop as moisture is either utilized by the plant or evaporates from the substrate until it approaches the red boundary indicating that the substrate is relatively dry and requires irrigation.

When irrigation begins, moisture content increases until the substrate is between container capacity and saturation. Once irrigation ends, any excess water in the container greater than the water holding capacity drains, reflecting a slight drop in moisture content. The final result is the net increase in volumetric water content.

After the irrigation event, one can observe the substrate drying as it is again subject to environmental conditions. The cycle would repeat itself once the moisture content reaches the lower boundary again.

Figure 3 is an example of ideal irrigation practices. It is rare, however, for growers to be able to gauge the perfect volume of water to apply. Understandably, most growers err on the side of applying extra, rather than risk subjecting plants to drought stress. But how much extra is too much? Analyzing the graphed moisture readings over the span of a single irrigation event creates a better picture.

During irrigation, if a substrate reaches saturation and the irrigation continues, the graph will display a flat-top (Figure 4). This flat-top represents a prolonged period of leaching, where water is leached from the base of the container at the rate at which it is being applied. This occurs when water is applied in excess at a rate faster than it can drain from the substrate.

Graphically, this is represented by a drastic drop to container capacity as soon as the irrigation is shut off, indicating that excess water is quickly drained from the container.

In each of these scenarios, excess water is leached from the container. Not only is this inefficient, but it also may reduce the efficacy of agrochemicals.

This in turn may necessitate more on-site treatment of runoff.

Since the graphs are displayed as a function of time, a grower can manipulate irrigation times based on the time it takes the water content to move between the dry and wet boundary. However, it must be noted that this is a function of plant architecture and size.

The concentration of soluble salts, which may come from irrigation water and fertilizers, can be quantified by measuring the electrical conductivity (EC) within the substrate. EC measurements assess how efficiently an electric current passes through a solution and provides an estimate of the bulk fertilizer concentration. Increased concentrations allow for the current to pass through with less resistance (greater conductivity).

Proper management of EC levels can be associated with desired plant growth. However, if the EC level allowed to reach low or high extremes, it may result in insufficient growth or salt damage, respectively. Close monitoring may indicate whether the EC levels are abnormal and whether corrective action is warranted.

The 5TE model probes do have the ability to measure EC. While their use was not the primary objective of this trial, researchers did evaluate the EC measuring technology. The sensor was unable to adequately provide usable EC information for real-time management decisions. Further research is needed to have real-time solution to monitor EC via remote monitoring systems to improve nursery production systems.

**Conclusion**

After a full growing season, both growers and researchers were encouraged by the potential of moisture-sensing technology to improve the efficiency of container production systems. Growers valued the ability to identify instances of over-irrigation, which led to several opportunities to refine irrigation practices.

In some situations managers observed improved communication with, and education of, their irrigation staff.

Growers did raise cost as a concern for implementing this technology on a commercial scale, especially considering the diverse number of plant species and production scenarios that occur at a typical nursery. Alternative strategies are being considered to reduce the scale at which this system is deployed, such as focusing on troublesome crops or to help determine irrigation practices for new and existing crops.

Ultimately, digital moisture monitoring systems are an example of how developing technology can benefit the nursery industry. Nurseries are seeking new and innovative methods to conserve natural and financial resources as the conversation regarding water usage becomes increasingly heated.
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